

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | <p>This bundled proposal is composed of a wide variety of items, and the DRep has options for and against each of these items.</p> <p>It is a serious concern that content that many DRep oppose or consider low priority will be included.</p> <p>In order to alleviate this serious concern, I tried to hold a vote for each of these items at the last minute, but unfortunately no other DRep participated.</p> <p>https://forms.gle/mW5kGpX8hVJG3z08</p> <p>Next time, I would like one of the following to be implemented to alleviate this serious concern in advance.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Bundled proposals should be subject to a temperature check vote for each item. 2. I would like bundled proposals to be verified or created by entities, consortia, or individuals selected by the DRep. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Please define the target value as much as possible for the KPI as a whole by the time of treasury withdrawal (not the KPI, but the target value of the KPI) 2) Please indicate whether more than 67% of projects in terms of TVL and transaction volume have joined CBDAO or have plans to join within 2025. To achieve this, I believe it will be necessary to establish a mechanism to ensure that new members are not treated less favorably than existing members. 3) Include in your KPIs the percentage of funding going to open source projects and the target value, so that DRep can approve treasury withdrawal governance actions with an understanding of how much of the 12,000,000 ADA goes towards closed source proprietary products. 4) I would like to see the subsidy limited to new development and research costs. The idea of paying for core talent and server costs, i.e. costs of survival (costs of keeping the fires lit), is difficult to support. Not only would it put non-CBDAO companies at a competitive disadvantage, but it would make it difficult to accept new CBDAO participants. This would be possible only in the following cases: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Non-profit organizations that serve the public good of Cardano (such as INTERSECT) -Open source products that many developers use or want to use, but the maintenance costs are so high that passing on the price would hurt new developers, so they are being maintained by volunteers -Have a solid plan to repay more than what is raised into the treasury | | | | | | | | | | | |